



Existing Schools
Change of Authorizer
Charter School Application & Evaluation Rubric

Student Achievement Minnesota, a charitable organization which meets the requirements as an authorizer under Minnesota statute section 124E.05 Subd.(1), invites Minnesota charter schools interested in changing authorizers to submit an application to Student Achievement Minnesota.

Existing charter schools interested in applying for authorization must submit an application, twenty-page maximum, which identifies:

- 1) Name of School
- 2) Mission & Vision
- 3) School History
- 4) Grade ranges currently served by the school (and grades for which the school is chartered, if different)
- 5) Academic Focus, Program Model, and specific information about the school's curriculum and instructional methods
- 6) Enrollment demographics for previous 5 years
- 7) Brief profiles of school board members, including skill sets/relevant experience
- 8) Family or personal relationships of any board members to board members and/or staff; family or personal relationships of staff to staff
- 9) School leader resume
- 10) A summary of the facility and building capacity
- 11) The school's greatest successes
- 12) The greatest challenges the school faces and the school's plan to address these challenges successfully
- 13) Why the school seeks to be authorized by Student Achievement Minnesota
- 14) Whether and to whom the school is additionally applying for authorization
- 15) A specific statement that the school will accept the terms of the Charter School Contract, without modification
- 16) Other information the school believes is relevant

Attachments not included in the twenty-page limit:

- 17) Description of how the school is:
 - (a) improving all pupil learning and all student achievement
 - (b) fulfilling other statutory purposes
- 18) The school's current charter contract.
- 19) The school's most recent review from its current authorizer detailing the school's fiscal, operational, and student performance; the review shall include any unresolved issues and outstanding obligations from its existing contract with the school. If the review

contains any unresolved issues or outstanding obligations, the school's application must include a detailed plan as to how it will resolve those issues and obligations.

NOTE: If the report from the current authorizer does not indicate any outstanding obligations or unresolved issues, a signed statement from the current authorizer stating that no unresolved issues or outstanding obligations exist under its current charter contract must be provided; Student Achievement Minnesota will not make a final application decision before this statement is received.

- 20) The three most recent external audits
- 21) Current year's budget, including projected fund balance as of June 30th
- 22) Current continuous improvement plan including:
 - a) the school's goals
 - b) student performance expectations
 - c) governance plan
 - d) administration and operations plan
 - e) financial management plan
- 23) Description of how the school evaluates its:
 - a) educational performance
 - b) fiscal performance
 - c) organizational performance
- 24) Current strategic plan
- 25) Academic Results – Provide results **other than** state assessment tests. Student Achievement Minnesota will obtain state assessment results from the MDE website

Format Requirements:

8 ½" x 11" paper, 1" margin all sides

Minimum 12 point font

May be single-spaced

Footers: School Name in left footer of each page, Pages #s bottom center of each page

Citations must be embedded in the text

No tabs/dividers

1 paper copy and 1 electronic (word and excel compatible) on flash drive

Facsimile and email applications are not accepted.

Where to Submit: Interested schools should mail its application and attachments with a cover letter which shall include phone and e-mail contact information to: Liz Wynne, Student Achievement Minnesota, P.O. Box 581639, Minneapolis, MN 55458-1639. Applicants should send an email to liz.wynne2@gmail.com stating the application was mailed on (date).

Decision Date: Site visits will be scheduled or notices of noninterest will be mailed within sixty days. If a site visit scheduled, decisions are generally provided within thirty days of site visit.

Deadline: None. Applications from Existing Charter Schools are accepted continuously.

Evaluation: Schools meeting Student Achievement Minnesota learning program requirements will be comprehensively evaluated based on the school's historical academic, fiscal, and operational performance. Student Achievement Minnesota evaluates whether the school has demonstrated academic, fiscal, and operational success as follows:

FISCAL SOUNDNESS	Primary Consideration	Evaluation Standard
External Audits	Existence of material weaknesses and significant deficiencies	No deficiencies or evidence that deficiencies are recognized and addressed through reduction and/or elimination
Fund Balance	Current % of historical expenditures and trend	Years of school operation are considered. Generally: Excellent: 20%+ ; Good: 15-20%; Generally Satisfactory: 10-14%; Less than 10%: generally reflects inadequate fiscal soundness but will be considered with satisfactory explanation
ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE		
State Assessments	Proficiency rates and Growth are each compared with: (a) resident district schools with comparable demographics, (b) resident district, and (c) state average subgroups. Multiple Measurement and Focus Ratings	Evidence that the school is increasing student achievement when compared with its traditional counterparts for the same grades served. <i>If the school is serving nontraditional populations, standards appropriate for the school population are utilized.</i> Current Focus or Priority designations generally reflect inadequate student achievement.
Local Assessments (provided by School)	Determined by assessment	
OPERATIONS		
Board Capacity	Skill sets, experience, actual governance (e.g. board material review)	Evidence of a variety of skill sets and experience; Evidence that the board adequately governs, critically evaluates, and strategically leads the school
Compliance	Reporting and state law requirements	Significant and/or multiple and/or repeated compliance deficiencies, without satisfactory explanation, generally reflect inadequate operational compliance.

TIP: Student Achievement Minnesota believes that one of the best indicators of future performance is past performance and, consequently, places great emphasis on and comprehensively evaluates a school's historical performance. In that spirit, a successful application generally reflects:

For Academic Performance, an historical record clearly demonstrating consistently strong student achievement or consistently increasing student achievement
TIP: include a matrix showing achievement over time

For Financial Performance, an historical record clearly demonstrating:
 (1) multiple external audits with no material or significant deficiencies, or attention to deficiencies
 (2) consistently solid fund balance or a consistently increasing fund balance.
TIP: include a matrix showing audit results / fund balance over time

For Operational Performance, an historical record clearly demonstrating:
 (1) consistent substantial compliance or consistently improving compliance
 (2) board record demonstration of active governance and oversight.
TIP: Include matrices showing compliance record over time, and board skill sets by board member, and board meeting attendance by board member

Reviewer _____

Date _____

Existing Schools Change of Authorizer Application Evaluation

Schools are evaluated based on fiscal, academic, and operational performance.

	Meets Expectations	Does Not Meet Expectations
<i>A quality application provides evidence of:</i>		
FISCAL SOUNDNESS		
External Audits: No deficiencies or deficiencies are addressed through reduction and/or elimination.		
<i>Qualitative: Describe the degree to which the external audits persuasively demonstrate adequate fiscal management through reduction and/or elimination of deficiencies.</i>		
Fund Balance: Current and trending fund balance.		
<i>Qualitative: Describe the degree to which the trend persuasively demonstrates fiscal soundness.</i>		
Fund Balance %: 10% minimum		
ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE		
MCA Results:		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Proficiency Rates</u> The school is increasing student achievement at a rate greater than its traditional counterparts for same grades.		
Resident district schools (w comp demographics)		
Resident district		
State average subgroups		
<i>Qualitative: Describe the degree to which the school's proficiency results persuasively demonstrate that the school is improving all pupil learning and all student achievement.</i>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>Growth Rates</u> The school is increasing student achievement at a rate greater than its traditional counterparts for same grades.		
Resident district schools (w comp demographics)		
Resident district		
State average subgroups		
<i>Qualitative: Describe the degree to which the school's growth results persuasively demonstrate that the school is improving all pupil learning and all student achievement.</i>		
Multiple Measurement & Focus Ratings		
The school's current MDE designation(s), if any (e.g.		

Reward, Celebration, Priority, Focus).		
<i>Qualitative: Describe the degree to which the MMRs and FRs demonstrate that the school is improving all pupil learning and all student achievement.</i>		
School Administered (Local) Assessments: The academic program is contributing to improved student achievement.		
<i>Qualitative: Describe the degree to which the school's results persuasively demonstrate that the school is improving all pupil learning and all student achievement.</i>		
OPERATIONS Board Capacity: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Board comprised of a variety of skill sets and experiences. 		
<i>Qualitative: Describe the degree to which the board has adequate experience: to what degree is the board comprised of experience in accounting/finance, business, charter schools, community organization, data analysis, education, legal, project management, real estate.</i>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Board adequately governs, critically evaluates, and strategically leads the school. 		
<i>Qualitative: Describes the degree to which the application and review of board minutes persuasively demonstrate that the board adequately governs the school.</i>		
<i>Describe the degree to which the application and review of board minutes persuasively demonstrate that the board critically evaluates the school.</i>		
<i>Describe the degree to which the application and review of board minutes persuasively demonstrate that the board strategically leads the school.</i>		
Compliance: The School complies with reporting and state and federal law requirements		
<i>Qualitative: Describe the degree to which the school persuasively demonstrates that it complies with reporting and legal requirements.</i>		
APPLICATION All Required Items (1-16) provided		
Application ATTACHMENTS Description re: fulfilling statutory purposes		

Current Charter Contract		
Most recent review from current authorizer		
Outstanding/Unresolved Issues Y N		
If Y, plan to resolve		
<i>Qualitative: Describe the degree to which the plan adequately address all deficiencies.</i>		
If N, signed statement from authorizer stating no unresolved issues.		
Three most recent external audits		
Current year's budget with projected fund balance as of June 30 th		
Continuous improvement plan (with school's goals, student performance expectations, governance plan, administration and operations plan, financial management plan)		
<i>Qualitative: Describe the degree to which the school describes a comprehensive continuous improvement plan and process.</i>		
How the school evaluates educational, fiscal, and operational performance		
<i>Qualitative: Describe the degree to which the school describe a comprehensive evaluation process. Describe how the evaluation process relates to its continuous improvement process and plan.</i>		
Current Strategic Plan		
<i>Qualitative: Describe the degree to which the strategic plan reflects comprehensive strategic planning.</i>		

ADDITIONAL REVIEWER COMMENTS:

REVIEWER RECOMMENDATION: